



Agenda Item No.: 9.3

# CITY OF SANGER

## REPORT TO THE CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

**To:** Chairperson and Committee Members  
**From:** Tim Chapa, City Manager  
**Subject:** Measure "S" Gang Prevention/Intervention Grant Applications  
**Attachments:** Copies of Grant Applications from Non-Profit Organizations

### CONFLICT OF INTEREST:

None

### RECOMMENDATION:

That the Measure "S" Citizens Oversight Committee approve the recommendation of the Internal Review Committee to grant \$192,551 from the Measure "S" Account to provide funding support to the Big Brothers/Big Sisters of Central CA (\$7,107) Project Purple (\$13,897) Boys & Girls Club-Street SMART (\$12,445), Community Resident Services (\$21,450), SAM Academy, Inc. (\$43,623), Sanger Police Department (\$86,029), and Sanger Young Marines (\$8,000).

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The following applications for grant funding from Measure S were received:

- o Big Brothers-Big Sisters (Unity Estates) \$ 12,500
- o Big Brothers-Big Sisters (Bigs in Blue) \$ 12,500
- o Project Purple - Boys & Girls Club \$ 21,737
- o Boys & Girls Club- Street SMART \$ 50,818
- o Community Resident Services \$ 30,800
- o SAM Academy, Inc. (CSWN) \$ 73,150
- o Sanger Police Department (GREAT) \$ 86,029
- o Sanger Young Marines \$ 15,000
- TOTAL \$302,534**

Prepared by: \_\_\_Tim Chapa\_\_\_\_\_

Approved by: \_\_\_\_\_

REVIEW: City Manager: \_\_\_\_\_

Finance: \_\_\_\_\_

City Attorney: \_\_\_\_\_

### TYPE OF ITEM:

### COUNCIL ACTION: APPROVED DENIED NO ACTION

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| ___ Consent   | ___ Public Hearing                       |
| ___ Info Item   | ___ Matter Initiated by a Council Member |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Action Item       | ___ Other                                |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Department Report | ___ Continued to: _____                  |
| ___ Redevelopment Agency                              |  |

The internal review committee met to review and discuss the merits of the applications and make a determination of whether they meet program guidelines. Committee members targeted a funding level of \$125,000 as had been targeted the prior year.

**BACKGROUND:**

On April 25<sup>th</sup>, 2017, the internal review committee consisting of Fire Chief Greg Tarascou and Committee Member JoAnn Mares and Sue Simpson met to review each of the Measure “S” grant applications submitted for funding in the 2017-2018 fiscal year budget.

The focus of the meeting was to 1) determine if the programs for which they were requesting funding met the criteria of the gang intervention/prevention component of the Measure “S” Public Safety Sales Tax measure; 2) determine if the grant applications met the grant criteria as specified by the City Council, specifically in Prevention, Intervention and Youth Development/Diversion strategies; and 3) determine the level of funding, if any. During the review the committee agreed the applicants met the criteria of being a legitimate non-profit organization, eligible to receive a Measure “S” grant. The applicants are properly registered with the State of California as 501(c)(3) non-profit and charitable organizations.

**REASON FOR RECOMMENDATION:**

The Internal Review Committee determined it was appropriate to fund the eight applicants with Measure “S” grant funds. While the applicants vary in the manner in which they implement the program, the applicants recommended for grant funding generally meet the guidelines and criteria of this program. It is noted that with the exception of the GREAT program application, most of the applications address the program with Youth Development/Diversion strategies that are not that much different than “after-school” programs. As the amount of funds requested exceeded the amount established for the grant program in the upcoming fiscal year, the committee recommends that the Great Program receive 100% funding, with the remaining programs being funded for direct program costs. A total recommended amount is \$192,551, prioritized as follows:

Project Name	Requested Funding	Operating Costs (100%)	Admin Costs (100%)	Admin Costs (15%)	Total Funding	Overall Score
GREAT Program	\$ 86,029	\$ 86,029		\$ -	\$ 86,029	1
Young Marines	\$ 15,000	\$ 4,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 8,000	2
Big Brothers Big Sisters - Unity Estates Bigs in Blue Program	\$ 12,500	\$ 1,025	\$ 11,475	1,721	\$ 2,746	3
Project Purple	\$ 21,737	\$ 12,514	\$ 9,223	1,383	\$ 13,897	4
Community Science Workshop Network	\$ 73,150	\$ 41,500	\$ 14,150	2,123	\$ 43,623	4
Big Brothers Big Sisters- Unity Estates Bigs Program	\$ 12,500	\$ 2,925	\$ 9,575	1,436	\$ 4,361	5
Boys and Girls Club	\$ 50,818	\$ 6,800	\$ 37,632	5,645	\$ 12,445	6
CRS Unity Estates	\$ 30,800	\$ 19,800	\$ 11,000	1,650	\$ 21,450	7
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$302,534</b>				<b>\$192,551</b>	

While the funding recommendation exceeds the \$125,000 set aside for these programs, it should be noted that with the exception of the Police Department's GREAT program, the remaining seven proposals amount to approximately \$106,000. (The committee did discuss an alternative recommendation to target the \$125,000 funding level by priority recommendation – in that case the committee would recommend funding through Priority #4 which would total \$154,925.)

A summary of the individual recommendation is as follows:

- Big Brothers Big Sisters – Unity Estates Bigs Program. \$4,361/\$12,500. The committee felt the operating expenses were appropriate Youth Development/Diversion activities, but that the staffing expenses at 76% of the request were not as appropriate. The recommendation is to fund the operating cost plus administrative costs.
- Big Brothers Big Sisters – Bigs in Blue Lunch program. \$2,746/\$12,500. The committee felt the operating expenses were appropriate Youth Development/Diversion activities, but that the staffing expenses at over 50% of the request were not as appropriate. The recommendation is to fund the operating cost plus administrative costs.
- Community Resident Services, Inc. (Unity Estates). \$21,450/\$30,800. The committee felt the operating expenses were appropriate Youth Development/Diversion activities, including some Prevention activities with a substitute programs (the applications was amended to replace the Phoenix curriculum) but the request for new staffing expenses were not as appropriate. The recommendation is to fund the operating cost plus administrative costs.
- Young Marines. \$8,000/\$15,000. The committee felt the operating expenses were appropriate Youth Development/Diversion activities in order to assist with the establishment and growth of the Young Marines program, but that the travel to Washington D.C. was not as appropriate. The recommendation is to fund the operating costs.
- Police Department - GREAT Program. \$86,029/\$86,029. The committee felt that this program provides a direct Prevention approach and recommends full funding. They do note that the program is requested as a three-year program and would support its funding for the three years. (Future year costs may be greater depending upon the ability to secure other grant resources.)
- Project Purple - \$13,897/\$27,737. The committee felt the operating expenses were appropriate Youth Development/Diversion activities, including some Prevention activities, but the request for staffing expenses at 42% and facilities/insurance at 16% were not as appropriate. The recommendation is to fund the operating cost plus administrative costs.
- Boys and Girls Club - \$12,445/\$50,818. The committee felt the operating expenses were appropriate Youth Development/Diversion activities, including some Prevention activities, but the request for staffing expenses at 74% of the request and telephone/data administration were not as appropriate. The recommendation is to fund the operating cost plus administrative costs.
- Community Science Network Project – SAM Academy. \$43,623/\$73,150. The committee felt the operating expenses were appropriate for certain Youth Development/Diversion activities, including \$4,000 for the establishment of the Cubs program, but that the Wilson Choir and Vocal Training, the Wilson CSW classroom visit program and the consultant Evaluation costs were not as appropriate. The recommendation is to fund the operating cost of the approved diversion programs plus administrative costs.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

Up to \$192,551, which upon approval of the City Council, will be appropriated in the Measure “S” 2017-2018 fiscal year budget.

**ALTERNATIVES:**

- Funding by priority designation through Priority #4 for a total of \$154,925.
- Do not approve the funding for the grant applicants or approve them for different amounts.

**ACTIONS FOLLOWING APPROVAL:**

A staff report will be presented to the Sanger City Council requesting their approval in the granting of the funds to the grant applicants for FY 17-18.

Revised 4/30/17

Must include that program is for three years, yearly reporting requested.  
 Increase in funding is supported by the addition of more children in the program.  
 Program is very dependent on staffing. Grant assumes 50% of total cost for program  
 Staffing is 42% of grant requested. 16% of operational cost is for facilities and insurance  
 Removed Wilson Choir (\$3500), Evaluations (\$3000), Cub Scouts (\$1000) and Wilson School program (\$10000)  
 Staffing is 76% of grant request.  
 Operating costs removed were \$540 for telephone, \$5846 for admin of grant and data  
 Entire project costs \$52,100, grant would assume 59% of total budget

Project Name	Requested Funding	Operating Costs (100%)	Admin & Staffing Costs (100%)	Admin Costs (15%)	Total Funding
GREAT Program	\$ 86,029	\$ 86,029		\$ -	\$ 86,029
Young Marines	\$ 15,000	\$ 4,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 8,000
Big Brothers Big Sisters - Unity Estates Bigs in Blue Program	\$ 12,500	\$ 1,025	\$ 11,475	\$ 1,721.25	\$ 2,746
Project Purple	\$ 21,737	\$ 12,514	\$ 9,223	\$ 1,383.45	\$ 13,897
Community Science Workshop Network	\$ 73,150	\$ 41,500	\$ 14,150	\$ 2,123	\$ 43,623
Big Brothers Big Sisters- Unity Estates Bigs Program	\$ 12,500	\$ 2,925	\$ 9,575	\$ 1,436.25	\$ 4,361
Boys and Girls Club	\$ 50,818	\$ 6,800	\$ 37,632	\$ 5,644.80	\$ 12,445
CRS Unity Estates	\$ 30,800	\$ 19,800	\$ 11,000	\$ 1,650.00	\$ 21,450

\$ 302,534

\$ 192,551